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## Analyzing cultural change via historical texts

- Historical text documents are increasingly used to study cultural change when conventional survey data are not available
- For example, historical books, newspapers, parliamentary or presidential speech
- Assumption: the text reflects the culture of the people who read, wrote or spoke it
- These studies typically rely on time series data and cannot leverage spatial variation, making it hard to examine causality
- We access more than 1B pages of local newspapers available through newspapers.com, newspaperarchive.com or chroniclingamerica.gov
- We can link newspaper text to key metadata: newspaper name, publication date, page, city of publication, circulation, political affiliation, and more
- The database covers 2,405 U.S. counties from all states and goes back to the 1700 s
- Newspaper markets in the U.S. have been highly local - only one (two) daily newspaper in 77\% (14\%) of counties between 1869 and 2004 - Gentzkow + 2011


## Limitations of our newspaper data

- Full-text access for around 25\% of the corpus, otherwise access to frequencies of keywords and keyword combinations at the page level
- Poor OCR quality, especially for older newspapers, and no article segmentation
- We will assess the importance of these issues for our goals by replicating our measurement using the corpus recently published by Dell et al.
- Their corpus has better OCR quality and segmented articles for approx. 20M pages

Newspaper database covers 2,405 counties from all states

- newspapers.com



## Number of counties covered unbalanced over time

\# Counties with at least one newspaper page in a given year
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## Today, focus on a specific cultural trait: norm tightness

Sources: Harrington, Gelfand (PNAS, 2014); Jackson et al. (Nature Human Behavior, 2019)
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Fig. 1. Patterns of tightness-looseness at the state level in the United States. States are organized into quintiles based upon tightness-looseness index scores. This map was constructed at www.diymaps.net.


Fig. 1 | Frequencies in tight and loose words in books from 1800 to 2000.

## How we measure norm tightness from newspaper text

- The literature knows two types of approaches to detect concepts, such as norm tightness, from text:

1. Dictionary-based: Create a dictionary of words that are semantically close to the concept of interest and count their frequency in the text
2. Algorithmic-based: Use a machine learning algorithm to detect the concept of interest from the text

- Both approaches have advantages and disadvantages:
- Dictionary-based: transparent, but coarse
- Algorithmic-based: often higher accuracy, but opaque (and limited to full-text corpora)
- We use two approaches and compare their performances:

1. Dictionary augmented with machine judgement
2. Contextualized Construct Representation (CCR), which is based on BERT (a language model that captures contextual semantic information)

## Method: dictionary-based approach

- We draw on the Tight-Loose dictionary created by Jackson et al. (NHB, 2019)
- The dictionary contains $2 \times 20$ keywords whose Google News word2vec embeddings are close to the embeddings of $2 \times 8$ seed words related to Tight-Loose theory
- Embeddings are vectors of numbers that represent the meaning of a word based on co-occurrence across the whole corpus; intuitively, words that appear in similar corpus contexts get similar vectors

$$
\text { Norm Tightness }=\operatorname{Avg}_{t}\left[\text { Scale }\left[\frac{\# \text { tight word }}{t} \text { doc length }\right]\right]-\text { Avg }_{/}\left[\text {Scale }\left[\frac{\# \text { loose word }}{l} \text { doc length }\right]\right]
$$

where a document is all newspaper text in newspaper $i$ located in county $c$ in year $t$

[^0]
## Method: refining and validating the dictionary

- Semantic substitution: Substitute each word with semantically closest neighbor, then recompute the construct of interest and report its correlation with the original measure $(\rho \approx 1$ )
- Part-of-speech balancing: We augment the dictionary by balancing nouns, verbs, adjectives, adverbs, etc.
- e.g., if dictionary contains prohibit, we add prohibited, prohibiting, prohibition, prohibitive, and prohibitively
- $\rho=0.896$
- Validation against proxy measure from the literature (in four slides)


## Relative frequency of tight words in newspapers •newspaperarchive.com

Relative frequencies of tight keywords


## Relative frequency of loose words in newspapers $\cdot$ newspaperarchivecom

Relative frequencies of loose keywords


## Frequency of tight vs. loose words in newspapers from 1728 to 2022

- newspaperarchive.com

Norm tightness in newspapers (yearly averages and 95\% CI)


## Does the text-based measure correlate with conventional psych data?

- newspaperarchive.com



## Text after 1950 highly correlated with modern psych data

- newspaperarchive.com

Correlations between Harrington Gelfand index and norm tightness from newspaper text in a given year


- $p<0.01$
- $p<0.05$
- $p<0.10$
- $p>0.10$


## Decade-by-decade rank correlations suggest break during 1940s

- newspaperarchive.com



## Urban newspapers increasingly looser than rural ones after 1950

- newspaperarchive.com

Norm tightness in newspapers (yearly averages and $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ )


Population density
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## Newspapers in high-immigration places increasingly looser after 1970

- newspaperarchive.com

Norm tightness in newspapers (yearly averages and 95\% CI)
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## Newspapers in Republican places increasingly tighter after 2000

- newspaperarchive.com

Norm tightness in newspapers (yearly averages and $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ )


## Norm tightness across US counties

```
averaged over all years * averaged over 1950-2022
```



## Method: contextualized construct representation (CCR)

## Source: Atari, Omrani, Dehghani (2023)
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## Method: contextualized construct representation (CCR)

## Source: Atari, Omrani, Dehghani (2023)



Survey questions: 1 . There are many social norms that people are supposed to abide by in this country. 2. In this country, there are very clear expectations for how people should act in most situations. 3. People agree upon what behaviors are appropriate versus inappropriate in most situations this country. 4. People in this country have a great deal of freedom in deciding how they want to behave in most situations. 5 . In this country, if someone acts in an inappropriate way, others will strongly disapprove. 6. People in this country almost always comply with social norms.

## CCR-based measure of norm tightness

\author{

- Validation
}

Norm tightness in newspapers (yearly averages and 95\% CI)


- Hope you're convinced that we're capturing some aspects of norm tightness in newspapers
- Let's put this to work by testing core hypotheses of cultural evolution theory:
- Do adverse shocks tighten social norms?
- Do looser norms promote innovation?


## Do natural disasters tighten social norms?

- Data: all U.S. federally designated natural disasters from 1918 to 2012, aggregated to county-decade level - Boustan + 2020
- Empirical strategy: standard difference-in-differences equation

Tightness $_{c(s) t}=\beta$ Severe disaster indicator ${ }_{c(s) t}+\alpha_{c}+\alpha_{t}+\gamma \times\left(X_{c(s)} \times t\right)+\varepsilon_{c(s) t}$

- $\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{t}$ denote county, state, year
- Severe disaster indicator $=1$ if a disaster with $\geq 25$ fatalities occurs $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{w} t-10$ and $t$
- $\alpha_{c}$ and $\alpha_{t}$ : county and decade fixed effects
- $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{s})} \times \boldsymbol{t}$ : state or county-specific linear time trends, removing local factors that smoothly change over time (e.g., local long-term economic progress)
- Standard errors clustered on counties


## Annual disaster count in U.S. from 1918 to 2012 trends upwards



## Natural disasters increase norm tightness in newspapers


$\Rightarrow$ Severe disasters increase norm tightness by $\approx 0.05$ s.d. or $5 \%$ of gap b/w VT and AR

## Do adverse economic shocks tighten social norms?

- Data: Exogenous variation in local U.S. labor market condtions induced by trade with China b/w 1990 and 2007 - the "China Shock" - Autor + 2013
- Empirical strategy: First-difference equation
$\Delta$ Tightness $_{c(r) t}=\beta \Delta$ Local trade exposure $_{c t}+X_{c} \gamma+\alpha_{r}+\alpha_{t}+\varepsilon_{c(r) t}$
- $\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{t}$ denote commuting zone, census-region, period
- $\Delta$ Local trade exposure is change in local import competition with China from $t-1$ to $t$
- $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ : baseline employment share in manufacturing
- $\alpha_{r}$ : census-region fixed effects, removing regional factors that smoothly change over time
- $\alpha_{t}$ : period-fixed effects, absorbing time-variant factors affecting all commuting zone
- Standard errors clustered on states


## Local trade exposure and manufacturing employment in U.S.



## Adverse economic shocks increase norm tightness in newspapers


$\Rightarrow$ Positive estimates of effect of trade exposure (left), while no pre-trend (right)

## Does norm tightness affect innovation?

- Data: Comprehensive Universe of U.S. Patents (CUSP); all patents issued by the USPTO between 1836 and 2015, aggregated to county-decade level - Berkes 2018
- Empirical strategy: standard difference-in-differences equation

Patents p. 10,000 ppl ${ }_{c(s) t}=\beta$ Tightness $_{C(s) t}+\alpha_{c}+\alpha_{t}+\gamma \times\left(X_{c(s)} \times t\right)+\varepsilon_{C(s) t}$

- $\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{t}$ denote county, state, decade
- Patents $\mathrm{p} .10,000 \mathrm{ppl}$ : number of patents filed $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{w} t$ and $t+10$ normalized by county population in $t$
- Tightness: avg. tightness among newspapers in $i \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{w} t$ and $t+10$
- $\alpha_{c}$ and $\alpha_{s t}$ : county and decade fixed effects
- $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{s})} \times \boldsymbol{t}$ : state or county-specific linear time trends, removing local factors that smoothly change over time (e.g., local long-term economic progress)
- Standard errors clustered on counties


## Norm tightness associated with fewer patents p.c., but pretrend

Estimates (95\% CI)


Estimates (95\% CI)


## Adding one-period lagged dependent variable



## Take-aways

- We study historical psychology through the lens of thousands of local newspapers from all U.S. states and going back to the 1700s
- Consistent with previous studies, we find norms in the U.S. loosened over the past 200 years, while local adverse shocks causes local tightening
- Looser norms are associated with more innovation
- Next steps: measuring more traits (e.g., individualism, moral universalism, religiosity, honor culture, gender norms, etc.)


## Appendix

Newspapers.com database covers 2,057 counties from all states


Newspaperarchive database covers 1,866 counties from all states


## Newspapers.com database $\overbrace{\text { Back }}$

\# Counties with at least one newspaper page in a given year
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## Newspaperarchive database $\bullet$ Back

\# Counties with at least one newspaper page in a given year
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Share of population covered (\%)


## Newspaperarchive sample $\stackrel{\text { Back }}{ }$

Relative frequencies of tight keywords


## Newspaperarchive sample $\stackrel{\text { Back }}{ }$

Relative frequencies of loose keywords
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## Newspaperarchive sample •Back

Norm tightness in newspapers (yearly averages and $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ )


## Newspaperarchive sample . вакк

Norm tightness (Harrington Gelfand index)


## Newspaperarchive sample •Back

Correlations between Harrington Gelfand index and norm tightness from newspaper text in a given year


## Newspaperarchive sample •Back



## Newspaperarchive sample • Back

Norm tightness in newspapers (yearly averages and 95\% CI)


## Newspaperarchive sample • Back

Norm tightness in newspapers (yearly averages and 95\% CI)


## Newspaperarchive sample • Back

Norm tightness in newspapers (yearly averages and 95\% CI)


## Norm tightness across US counties

averaged over 1950-2022 •Back




[^0]:    Tight words: restrain, prevent, comply, constrain, uniformity, adhere, enforce, proscribe, abide, dictate, circumscribe, impose, uphold, discourage, compel, forbid, confine, govern, prohibit, preclude. Loose words: allow, freedom, create, variability, autonomy, openness, leeway, flexibility, broadmindedness, transformatory, customize, subjectivities, modify, limitless, empower, adaptiveness, pluralism, personalize, encourage, diverse

